

## Executive Committee Discussion Outline:

- I. **Issue Outline** (not the bill)- Dee kick-off 1:00-1:15pm
  - October Exec. Comm. Issue brought forward
  - Infrastructure Task Force: predetermined outcome/task force makeup
  - Business Model: Partnerships BC/ Clark Construction
  - Exec. Comm. Direction to John: engage with goal of delaying/shaping
  - **The purposes of today's meeting:**
    - i. Discuss potential impact on industry and members
    - ii. Full briefing from John/team
    - iii. Take a position on the bill as currently exists (fast-moving, vote Wednesday)
      - ❖ *Decision: formal position needed today*
- II. **Legislation Evolution/Current Bill**- Dee tees up briefing from John/team 1:15-2:00pm
  - John:
    - i. History of engagement/meetings (task force meetings, 1x1 meetings, legislator meetings, Treasurer and Governor)
    - ii. AGC letters/going on the record to Task Force- leading to/resulting in evolution of bill (John turns to Mike Eliason to review chart outlining key elements in evolution of this bill)
  - Mike E (reviews chart):
    - i. Summary of major elements of the bill in each of its iterations (Mike E. turns over the John)
  - John (HB 4111-4):
    - i. Summarizes bill as currently exists
    - ii. Outstanding/final bill elements
  - Dee/John:
    - i. Lead discussion/Q & A
- III. **Position Decision**- Dee calls the question 2:00pm
  - Dee asks John to outline potential options
  - **(Before I do that I want to ask Drew Hagedorn, our outside counsel to handicap where things stand in the Capitol/impacts to AGC's standing going forward)**
  - Drew- political handicaps
  - Position Options:
    - i. Oppose Outright (one extreme):
      - ❖ within our rights because missing expertise, missing key statement, missing key stakeholders
      - ❖ Lack of due diligence
      - ❖ Complex, longstanding area of the law (279C)
    - ii. Neutral/no position:
      - ❖ Potentially allows AGC most influence to slow and shape
      - ❖ Consistent with AGC's arguments:
        - a. Infrastructure funding critical; due diligence; information necessary
        - b. Purposed to move forward with implementation
    - iii. Qualified Support (the other extreme)